The Last Word on the Third Way

We all know that the idea of the ‘Third Way’ is that we have to find a safe passage between the Scylla of failed market liberalism and the Charibdis of failed socialism – though there is not agreement about whether that includes social democracy as such, and no one has asked where we are actually heading. Can anything more precise be said? One answer to the question is that the ‘Third Way’ is just a floating honorific term that labels anyone’s favourite nostrum. Another is that it should be defined as whatever New Labour does. A third, more interesting, is that it is a name for unforeseen policy and institutional innovations that result from trying to avoid or rectify the typical corruptions of market liberalism and social democracy respectively.

When new ideas arise in political life, it is always a good idea to return to their classic exponents and commentators for clarification. So I propose that we return to Stuart White’s text of 1998 ‘Interpreting the “Third Way”: Not One Way but Many’ in which he offered us a first attempt at defining what we seem to have been talking about. The Third Way, he suggests, is a ‘terrain on which competing philosophical positions – meritocratic and egalitarian, liberal and communitarian – can find room’. At the normative level, the inhabitants of this terrain are united in a dual commitment to the values of real opportunity and civic responsibility (and, derivatively, to the value of community understood by reference to these two values). At the level of policy, they tend to agree on a view of the state as guarantor but not necessarily provider of opportunity goods, in being receptive to forms of ‘mutualism’, in favouring new thinking...
out public finance and in supporting employment-centred social policy and skill-centred employment policy and, generally, enhancing people's productive endowments.

I want here to develop White's idea that the 'Third Way' actually defines a space within which there are 'divisions which correspond to potentially very different projects'. The space in question is rhetorically defined. It proclaims that there are two hopelessly failed outlooks with their accompanying policies and one alternative route that all forward-looking persons will see to be the only one possible.

Just because this space is rhetorically defined, I think it important to end to the language of its advocates. I suspect that their vocabulary conceals at least three basic conflicts that characterize our political life — and, moreover, that that is the very point of Third Way rhetoric.

The first such conflict is between those who are committed to taking quality seriously and those who are not — in other words, between left and right. The newly popular language of 'inclusion' is ideally suited for obscuring this division. Including the previously excluded means pulling in (immigrants, those without work or homes) over some boundary but it leaves entirely unaddressed the question of the justice or otherwise of the society within which they are to be included. We can see on the importance of inclusion while leaving such issues addressed.

The second conflict is that between so-called communitarians and liberals. Is the state to encourage, regulate and perhaps enforce certain norms of behaving and ways of living in the name of civic responsibilities and duties of citizens? Should the state be promoting a particular line of the family, say, or, indeed of the nation — or should it leave such matters as far as possible up to individual choices, within the framework of the law? Here the language of 'citizenship' plays a conveniently obscure role. It leaves entirely open the question of what makes for a good citizen and the further question of where in this domain the limits of state intervention lie.

The third conflict is that between elitism and democracy, between those inclined to concentrate power in the hands of the specially qualified, whether politically or managerially or technically, and those who ask its wide dispersion into the hands of the governed, enabling them to participate maximally in public deliberation and decision-making and call their governments to account. Here there is no single unifying and scaring vocabulary but rather an eclectic mixture of languages — technocratic and populist, arcane and vernacular, centralizing and local. On questions of how political life is to be organized and conducted, Third Way rhetoric is entirely ambiguous between elite control and deliberative democracy.

I suggest that the very point of the rhetoric of the Third Way is to fudge such distinctions, thereby enabling the political leaders who foster it to pursue their project while enlarging their constituency among the ideologically inclined. So the Third Way can unite Anthony Giddens (for whom it signifies the renewal of social democracy)\(^2\) with John Gray (for whom social democracy belonged within a historical niche that is gone beyond hope of memory);\(^3\) high-minded pieties about family values and British pride with talk of flexible self-invention in a postmodern world; and media manipulation and Leninist party control with constitutional decentralization and support for citizens' juries.

I have heard it suggested that the propagators of the Third Way have invoked it in order to keep academics busy. But this seems unlikely, because, if I am right, the basic point of the Third Way is to abstain from drawing distinctions. But making distinctions is just what academics typically spend their time doing. If we start to analyse and question this rhetoric and start to differentiate different third ways, aren't we in danger of destroying the very object of our analysis? So, if the Third Way has anything to recommend it, would it not be altogether better for academics and intellectuals generally to stop discussing it? Perhaps in this case, we should recall Wittgenstein's dictum at the end of his *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*: 'What we cannot speak about, we must consign to silence.'\(^4\)

**Notes**

Index

Africa, traditional medicine 5
Amnesty International 37, 105, 154
Anderson, Elizabeth 68
anthropologists 3–4, 5–6, 16–17, 41, 60
  see also Obeyesekere, Gananath;
    Sahlin, Marshall
Appiah, Anthony 21
Archilochoi 107
Aron, Raymond 83, 84
Austria 110
authoritarianism 148, 149
Azaende 47–8, 59, 60

Bacon, Francis 50
Bahn, Paul 30
Barry, Brian 32–3, 35–6, 37, 39, 126
  Culture and Equality 36
Barthes, Roland 137
belief 157–8
  religious 1–2, 5, 47, 49, 117, 119,
    122–3
  see also Hawaiians, Mahakiki festival

Bellah, R.N.
  Habits of the Heart 150–51
Benedict, Ruth 16, 18
  Patterns of Culture 17
  'The Uses of Cannibalism' 29–30
Benhabib, Seyla 19, 34
Bennet, William 146
Bentham, Jeremy 160
Berlin, Sir Isaiah 15, 16, 19, 42, 78–97,
  107–14, 150
  'Alleged Relativism in Eighteenth-
    Century European Thought' 100,
    102
Four Essays on Liberty 81, 95
  'The Pursuit of the Ideal' (Agnelli
    lecture) 95, 101–2
  'Two Concepts of Liberty' 85, 94,
    101, 102
Vico and Herder 100
Boas, Franz 16–17
Bobbio, Norberto 83, 84
Bobbitt, Philip see Calabresi, Guido
  and Bobbitt, Philip
Brittan, Samuel 128
uniformitarianism 109-10, 113
United States 72-4, 83, 147, 148, 153
  Clinton Administration 146
economy 149, 151
  institutions 151-2
universalism 10-24, 36-9, 109-10, 113
definitions 13-14
universal realism 13
utilitarianism 6, 82, 93, 95, 124, 155-6, 160, 164
utility 50

Vagina Monologues, The 143
value pluralism 90-91, 92-4, 95-6, 100, 102, 112-13, 114
values 65-75, 109-4, 150
  partial 69-70
  sacred 67-9, 70-74
Vauvenargues, Marquis de 27
Vico, Giambattista 16, 48, 50, 80, 86-7, 92, 94, 95, 100, 101, 102, 104, 108-9
violence 110-11
Voltaire 28, 109, 110, 141

Waldron, Jeremy 22-3, 126, 142
Walzer, Michael 21, 31-2, 34, 35-6, 37, 38, 84
  Spheres of Justice 32
Weber, Max 51, 74, 150
  'Politics as a Vocation' 94
White, Stuart
  'Interpreting the "Third Way": Not One Way but Many' 171-2
Whorf, Benjamin Lee 15
Williams, Bernard 78, 105
Winch, Peter 15
  'Understanding a Primitive Society' 46-7, 48, 51, 52, 55-6, 57, 59
witchcraft 46, 47-8
Wittgenstein, Ludwig 46, 173
Wolin, Sheldon 93

Young, Iris Marion 140

Zande see Azande